

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

**COSSIE R. FERGUSON,**

**Plaintiff,**

**Case No. 3:09-cv-130**

**vs.**

**Judge Thomas M. Rose**

**MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,  
Commissioner of Social Security,**

**Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz**

**Defendant.**

---

**ENTRY AND ORDER OVERRULING FERGUSON'S OBJECTIONS (Doc.  
#12) TO THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS ; ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S  
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. #11) IN ITS ENTIRETY;  
AFFIRMING THE COMMISSIONER'S DECISION THAT FERGUSON  
WAS NOT ENTITLED TO SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY BENEFITS;  
AND TERMINATING THIS CASE**

---

Plaintiff Cossie R. Ferguson ("Ferguson") has brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of the final decision of the Defendant Commissioner of Social Security (the "Commissioner") denying his application for Social Security disability benefits. On March 15, 2010, United States Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz entered a Report and Recommendations (doc. #11) recommending that the Commissioner's decision that Ferguson was not disabled and thus not entitled to Social Security disability benefits be affirmed. Ferguson subsequently filed Objections. (Doc. #12.) The time has run and the Commissioner has not responded to Ferguson's objections. This matter is, therefore, ripe for decision.

Based upon the reasoning and citations of authority set forth in the Magistrate Judge's

Report and Recommendations (doc. #11) and in Ferguson's Objections (doc. #12), as well as upon a thorough de novo review of this Court's file, including the Administrative Transcript, and a thorough review of the applicable law, this Court adopts the aforesaid Report and Recommendations in its entirety and, in so doing, affirms the Commissioner's decision that Ferguson is not disabled and thus not entitled to Social Security disability benefits. Finally, Ferguson's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations are overruled.

This Court's function is to determine whether the record as a whole contains substantial evidence to support the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ's") decision. *Bowen v. Commissioner of Social Security*, 478 F.3d 742, 745-46 (6th Cir. 2007). This court must also determine whether the ALJ applied the correct legal criteria. *Id.*

Regarding the substantial evidence requirement, the ALJ's findings must be affirmed if they are supported by "such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion." *Richardson v. Perales*, 402 U.S. 389, 401 (1971)(citing *Consolidated Edison Company v. NLRB*, 305 U.S. 197, 229 (1938)); *Landsaw v. Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 803 F.2d 211, 213 (6th Cir. 1986). Substantial evidence means such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion. *Richardson, supra*, at 401; *Ellis v. Schweicker*, 739 F.2d 245, 248 (6th Cir. 1984). Substantial evidence is more than a mere scintilla, but only so much as would be required to prevent a directed verdict (now judgment as a matter of law) against the ALJ/Commissioner if this case were being tried to a jury. *Foster v. Bowen*, 853 F.2d 483, 486 (6th Cir. 1988); *NLRB v. Columbian Enameling and Stamping Company*, 306 U.S. 292, 300 (1939).

The second judicial inquiry - reviewing the ALJ's legal criteria - may result in reversal

even if the record contains substantial evidence supporting the ALJ's factual findings. *See Bowen*, 478 F.3d at 746. A reversal based on the ALJ's legal criteria may occur, for example, when the ALJ has failed to follow the Commissioner's "own regulations and where that error prejudices a claimant on the merits or deprives the claimant of a substantial right. *Bowen*, 478 F.3d at 746(citing in part *Wilson v. Commissioner of Social Security*, 378 F.3d 541, 546-47 (6th Cir. 2004)).

In this case, the ALJ's decision is supported by substantial evidence and the ALJ applied the correct legal criteria. WHEREFORE, based upon the aforesaid, Ferguson's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations (doc. #12) are OVERRULED, and this Court adopts the Report and Recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge (Doc. #11) in its entirety. The Commissioner's decision that Ferguson was not disabled and therefore not entitled to Social Security disability benefits is AFFIRMED.

The captioned cause is hereby ordered terminated upon the docket records of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, at Dayton.

**DONE and ORDERED** in Dayton, Ohio, this Twentieth Day of April, 2010.

.  
**s/Thomas M. Rose**

---

JUDGE THOMAS M. ROSE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Copies furnished to: Counsel of Record